Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STATE 062921 ORIGIN EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-05 INR-10 L-03 ACDA-12 NSAE-00 PA-01 SS-15 SP-02 USIA-06 TRSE-00 DODE-00 NSC-05 /072 R

DRAFTED BY OASD/ISA: JTYLER/ EUR/RPM: JAFROEBE, JR.

APPROVED BY EUR/RPM: SJLEDOGAR

OASD/ISA: MGEN BOWMAN JS/J-5: COL PARRISH (INFORMED)

OSD: RKOMER

EUR/RPM: WTROBINSON

-----079841 112251Z /63

P 110021Z MAR 78 FM SECSTATE WASHDC TO USMISSION USNATO PRIORITY INFO USNMR SHAPE BELGIUM PRIORITY USCINCEUR VAIHINGEN GER PRIORITY

USLO SACLANT NORFOLK VA PRIORITY CINCLANT NORFOLK VA PRIORITY

CONFIDENTIAL STATE 062921

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: MPOL, NATO, US

SUBJECT: NATO FORCE GOALS FOR THE US

REF: USNATO 2271, DTG 041427Z MAR 78

1. AS REGARDS THE REASONABLE CHALLENGE WHICH NATO FORCE GOALS POSE FOR EACH COUNTRY FOR AN EFFORT OVER AND ABOVE THAT IN ITS PREVIOUS FORCE PLANS, WE RECOGNIZE THAT SUCH A CHALLENGE RESULTS FROM A COLLECTIVE NATO DECISION. THE COUNTRY SO CHALLENGED NORMALLY CAM TRY TO RESIST THE IMPOSITION OF SUCH A CHALLENGE ONLY CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 062921

THROUGH AN EXPOSITION OF THE FACTS OF ITS DEFENSE EFFORTS.

2. WE ALSO RECOGNIZE THAT MINISTERS IN MAY 1977 SET THE AIM AT THREE PERCENT WITH THOSE COUNTRIES NOT UP TO PAR CALLED ON TO GO ABOVE THREE PERCENT.

3. THE INTERNATIONAL STAFF NOW, HOWEVER SEEMS TO BE USING THE PROCESS OF CONVERTING NMA FORCE PROPOSALS INTO

DRAFT FORCE GOALS AS A MEANS TO INDUCE COUNTRIES WHICH HAVE INDICATED ACCEPTANCE OF THE 3 PERCENT LEVEL TO ACCEPT A SECOND, STILL HIGHER LEVEL OF CHALLENGE. WE COULD AGREE THAT THIS INTERNATIONAL STAFF TACTIC MAKES SENSE IF AIMED AT GETTING MORE FROM COUNTRIES THAT HAVE TENDED TO DO LESS, BUT QUESTION ITS APPLICATION TO NATIONS THAT

CONSISTENTLY MEET OR EXCEED BOTH THE CHALLENGE AND THE COMMITMENTS.

4. MOREOVER, WE HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THE VIEW THAT THE ECONOMIC CHALLENGE CONSTITUTES A GENERAL ECONOMIC FRAMEWORK FOR FORWARD DEFENSE PLANNING. THE ECONOMIC CHALLENGE PUT FORWARD IN THE FORCE GOAL CONTEXT IS RELATED TO SPECIFIC FORCE GOALS. THUS, IT LEAVES UNCOVERED SUCH DEFENSE EXPENDITURES AS LTDP MEASURES NOT COVERED IN FORCE GOALS ALREADY, INCREASES IN NATO INFRASTRUCTURE AND IN NATIONAL DEFENSE EXPENDITURES ON LOGISTICS AND OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE -- ALL OF WHICH TO DATE HAVE REMAINED OUTSIDE THE PURVIEW OF NATO FORCE PLANNING. HENCE, THE DIFFICULTIES: (1) THE CHALLENGE WOULD BE NOT SO MUCH TO A HIGHER LEVEL OF DEFENSE EFFORT BUT RATHER TO SPECIFIC ADDITIONAL ACTIONS PRECISELY DEFINED; (2) THE ACTIONS CONCERNED WOULD FALL WITHIN THE CURRENT SCOPE OF NATO FORCE PLANNING AND IT GIVES NO CONSIDERATION TO THE BROADER DEFENSE PRO-CONFIDENTIAL.

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 062921

GRAMMING ENVISIONED IN THE LONG-TERM DEFENSE PROGRAM SET IN MOTION BY THE 1977 SUMMIT.

- 5. OUR PREFERRED APPROACH WOULD USE TWO CRITERIA FOR DRC ACTION IN FORMULATION OF DRAFT FORCE GOALS. WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF A REASONABLE ADDITIONAL EFFORT BY EACH COUNTRY, THE FIRST CRITERIA WOULD BE TO IDENTIFY THE KEY FORCE PLANNING ACTIONS NEEDED FROM THAT COUNTRY IN NATO COALITION DEFENSE, AND THE SECOND TO PUSH HARDER ON THOSE COUNTRIES WHOSE BASIC FORCE LEVEL EFFORT IS LOW.
- 6. WE RELY ON MISSION'S KNOWLEDGE OF THE BASIC FACTS
 OF THE US DEFENSE EFFORT 5.5 PERCENT OF GDP FOR
 DEFENSE, HIGH MANNING LEVELS, A HIGH PERCENTAGE OF THE
 TOTAL LABOR FORCE IN DEFENSE ACTIVITY, A HIGH DEGREE
 OF MODERNIZATION IN EQUIPMENT, ETC. TO ENSURE THAT
 THE FORCE GOALS FOR THE UNITED STATES EMBODY A REASONABLE
 CHALLENGE FORMULATED IN LIGHT OF THE HIGH LEVEL OF OUR
 CURRENT AND PROJECTED EFFORT AND EXPRESSED IN FORCE
 OBJECTIVES APPROPRIATE TO THE UNITED STATES AND HAVING
 HIGH PRIORITY IN NATO COALITION DEFENSE.

7. AS A PRACTICAL MATTER, QUITE APART FROM THE QUESTION OF FUNDING FOR THE LONG-TERM DEFENSE PROGRAM, WE WOULD HAVE DIFFICULTY WITH CONGRESS AND THE PUBLIC WITH ANY FORMULATION WHICH WOULD EQUATE A DRC FORCE GOAL CHALLENGE OF ONE PERCENT TO EUROPEAN NATO (TOTAL DEFENSE SPENDING, LESS GREECE AND TURKEY, AS 3.6 PERCENT OF GDP) WITH THAT OF A SIMILAR CHALLENGE TO THE US

(TOTAL DEFENSE SPENDING AS 5.5 PERCENT OF GDP).

8. IN SUMMARY, WHILE WE DO NOT EXPECT US POSITION TO SURVIVE UNTOUCHED OR EVEN UNSCATHED, NEITHER DO WE EXPECT DRC ACTION TO BE TAKEN IN TOTAL DISREGARD OF CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 STATE 062921

DIMENSIONS OF CURRENT AND PLANNED US DEFENSE EFFORT.

9. ADDITIONALLY, YOU SHOULD MAKE POINT THAT US EXPECTS THAT SOME PART OF ITS FUTURE DEFENSE SPENDING WILL BE DEVOTED TO SUPPORT OF LONG-TERM DEFENSE PROGRAM ACTIONS, AND THEREFORE WILL NOT BE AVAILABLE FOR ADDITIONAL FORCE GOAL CHALLENGES.

10. THE FOREGOING IS NOT MEANT TO PREJUDICE THE US POSITION ON KEY 1979-1986 FORCE PROPOSALS (SUCH AS AMO4, AMO5 AND AMO7) ON WHICH WE HAVE RESERVED PENDING REVIEW OF THE NAVAL FORCE PLANNING STUDY AND OTHER STUDIES NOW IN PROGRESS.

VANCE

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z Capture Date: 01 jan 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED Concepts: POLICIES, TROOP DEPLOYMENT, ALLIANCE

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 11 mar 1978 Decaption Date: 20 Mar 2014
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW

Disposition Date: 20 Mar 2014 Disposition Event: Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1978STATE062921
Document Source: COP

Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: JTYLER/ EUR/RPM: JAFROEBE, JR.

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: GS Errors: N/A

Expiration:

Film Number: D780110-0118

Format: TEL From: STATE

Handling Restrictions:

Image Path: ISecure: 1

Legacy Key: link1978/newtext/t19780378/aaaacokz.tel

Line Count: 151 Litigation Code IDs: Litigation Codes:

Litigation Codes. Litigation History: Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM Message ID: 7ec418c9-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc Office: ORIGIN EUR

Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: ONLY
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Previous Handling Restrictions: ONLY Reference: 78 USNATO 2271

Retention: 0

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Content Flags:

Review Date: 25 may 2005 Review Event: Review Exemptions: n/a **Review Media Identifier:**

Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

SAS ID: 3360468 Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: NATO FORCE GOALS FOR THE US

TAGS: MPOL, US, NATO

To: USNATO Type: TE

vdkvgwkey: odbc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/7ec418c9-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc

Review Markings: Sheryl P. Walter Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 20 Mar 2014

Markings: Sheryl P. Walter Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 20 Mar 2014